Appl. No. 10/577,675 Response dated: July 15, 2009 Reply to Office action of April 30, 2009

REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated April 30, 2009, Applicants respectfully request

reconsideration based on the following remarks. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims

as presented are in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-7 are pending in the present Application. Claims 1-7 stand objected to for

informalities. Applicants gratefully acknowledge that claims 1-7 would be allowable but for the objection to claim 1. Claim 1 has been amended in response to the objection thereto in

accordance with the Examiner's suggestion, thus claims 1-7 remain pending for further

consideration

No new matter has been introduced. Reconsideration and allowance of the claims are

respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-7 stand objected to because in claim 1, lines 11-12, the limitation "a data

electrode line formed on the second insulating substrate and intersecting the first and second gate lines" should be interpreted as "a data electrode line formed on the second insulating substrate

and having two-dimensionally intersecting the first and second gate lines (to define a pixel

area)". (Emphasis added.)

In response, independent claim 1 has been amended to recite "a data electrode line formed on the second insulating substrate and having two-dimensionally intersecting the first and second gate

lines", as suggested by the Examiner. (Emphasis added.)

(Emphasis added.)

a pixel area)" as suggested by the Examiner is not an indispensable limitation, since the first and

Further, it is respectfully suggested that the further parenthetical limitation of "(to define

second gate lines may be formed inside the pixel area.

Applicants therefore respectfully request withdrawal of the outstanding objection to

claims 1-7 and respectfully request early allowance of the claims.

Page 4 of 5

Appl. No. 10/577,675 Response dated: July 15, 2009 Reply to Office action of April 30, 2009

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the instant application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this application be allowed and a Notice of Allowance issued. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference with Applicants' attorneys would be advantageous to the disposition of this case, the Examiner is cordially requested to telephone the undersigned.

In the event the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks deems additional fees to be due in connection with this application, Applicants' attorney hereby authorizes that such fee be charged to Deposit Account No. 06-1130.

Respectfully submitted.

CANTOR COLBURN LLP

By: /James J. Merrick/
James J. Merrick/
James J. Merrick 43,801
Confirmation No. 5134
CANTOR COLBURN LLP
20 Church Street, 22nd Floor
Hartford, CT 06103-3207
PTO Customer No. 23413

Telephone (860) 286-2929 Facsimile (860) 286-0115

Date: July 15, 2009